Report No. DRR19/057

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

Decision Maker: RENEWAL, RECREATION AND HOUSING PDS COMMITTEE

Date: 5th November 2019

Decision Type: Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

Title: Contract Register

Contact Officer: Lydia Lee, Assistant Director, Culture and Regeneration

Tel:020 8313 4456 Email:Lydia.lee@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Sara Bowrey, Director, Housing, Planning, and Regeneration

Tel:020 8313 4013 Email:sara.bowrey@bromley.gov.uk

Ward: All Wards

1. Reason for report

- 1.1 This report presents an extract from the October 2019 Contracts Register for detailed scrutiny by PDS Committee all PDS committees will receive a similar report each contract reporting cycle, based on data as at 24th September and presented to ERC PDS on 9th October 2019.
- 1.2 The Contracts Register contained in Part 2 of this agenda includes a commentary on each contract to inform Members of any issues or developments (there is no covering report).

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Renewal, Recreation and Housing PDS Committee:

- 2.1 Reviews and comments on the Contracts Register as at 24th September 2019.
- 2.2 Notes that in Part 2 of this agenda the Contracts Register contains additional, potentially commercially sensitive, information in its commentary.

Impact on Vulnerable Adults and Children

1. Summary of Impact: The appended Contracts Register covers services which may be universal or targeted. Addressing the impact of service provision on vulnerable adults and children is a matter for the relevant procurement strategies, contracts award and monitoring reports, and service delivery rather than this report.

Corporate Policy

- 1. Policy Status: Existing Policy:
- 2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council:

Financial

- 1. Cost of proposal: N/A
- 2. Ongoing costs: N/A
- 3. Budget head/performance centre: Renewal, Recreation and Housing Portfolio
- 4. Total current budget for this head: £15.696m controllable budget
- 5. Source of funding: Existing 2019/20 revenue budget

Personnel

- 1. Number of staff (current and additional): N/A
- 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: N/A

<u>Legal</u>

- 1. Legal Requirement: Statutory Requirement:
- 2. Call-in: Not Applicable:

Procurement

 Summary of Procurement Implications: Improves the Council's approach to contract management

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): N/A

Ward Councillor Views

- 1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? N/A
- 2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A

3. COMMENTARY

Contracts Register Background

- 3.1 The Contracts Database is fully utilised by all Contract Managers across the Council as part of their Contract Management responsibilities, which includes updating the information recorded on the database. The Register is generated from the Contracts Database which is administered by the Governance and Contracts Division and populated by the relevant service managers (Contract Owners) and approved by their managers (Contract Approvers).
- 3.2 As a Commissioning Council, this information is vital to facilitate a full understanding of the Council's procurement activity and the Contracts Registers is a key tool used by Contract Managers as part of their daily contract responsibilities. The Contract Registers are reviewed by the Procurement Board, Chief Officers, Corporate Leadership Team, and Contracts Sub-Committee as appropriate
- 3.3 The Contracts Register is produced four times a year for members, although the Contract Database itself is always 'live'.
- 3.4 Each PDS committee is expected to undertake detailed scrutiny of its contracts including scrutinising suppliers – and hold the Portfolio Holder to account on service quality and procurement arrangements.

Contract Register Summary

- 3.5 The Council has 207 active contracts covering all portfolios as of 24th September for the October cycle as set out in Appendix 1.
- 3.6 Contracts that have been categorised as red are generally one off capital schemes and therefore naturally come to an end and do not require a new tender process to be undertaken.

Renewal and Recreation and Housing

Item	Category	April 2019	July 2019	October 2019
Total Contracts	£50k+	10	12	25
Concern Flag	Concern Flag	0	0	0
	Red	0	1	1
Diele teedeer	Amber	6	6	12
Risk Index	Yellow	4	5	11
	Green	0	0	1
Total		10	12	25
	Red	6	4	11
Procurement	Amber	0	0	3
Status	Yellow	0	0	1
	Green	4	8	10
Total		10	12	25

4. IMPACT ON VULNERABLE ADULTS & CHILDREN

4.1 The Corporate Contracts Register covers all Council services: both those used universally by residents and those specifically directed towards vulnerable adults and children. Addressing the impact of service provision on the vulnerable is a matter for the relevant procurement strategies, contracts, and delivery of specific services rather than this summary register.

5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The Council's renewed ambition is set out in the 2016-18 update to Building a Better Bromley. The Contracts Database (and Contract Registers) help in delivering the aims (especially in delivering the 'Excellent Council' aim). For an 'Excellent Council', this activity specifically helps by 'ensuring good contract management to ensure value-for-money and quality services'.

6. PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Most of the Council's (£50k plus) procurement spend is now captured by the Contracts Database. The database helps to ensure that procurement activity is undertaken in a timely manner, that Contract Procedure Rules are followed and that Members are able to scrutinise procurement activity in a regular and systematic manner.

7. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1 The Contracts Database and Contract Registers are not primarily financial tools – the Council has other systems and reports for this purpose such as the Budget Monitoring reports. However, they do contain financial information both in terms of contract dates and values and also budgets and spend for the current year.

8. PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

8.1 There are no direct personnel implications but the Contracts Database is useful in identifying those officers directly involved in manging the Council's contracts.

9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 There are no direct legal implications but the Contracts Database does identify those contracts which have a statutory basis and also those laws which should be complied with in delivering the contracted services.
- 9.2 A list of the Council's active contracts may be found on <u>Bromley.gov.uk</u> to aid transparency (this data is updated after each Contracts Sub-Committee meeting).

Non-Applicable	None
Sections:	
Background	Appendix 1 – Key Data (All Portfolios)
Documents:	Appendix 2 - Contracts Database Background
(Access via Contact	information
Officer)	 Appendix 3 – Contracts Database Extract PART 1

Appendix 1 Key Data (All Portfolios)

Item	Category	April 2019	July 2019	October 2019
Contracts (>£50k TCV)	All Portfolios	214	205	207
Flagged as a concern	All Portfolios	8	4	2
Capital Contracts	All Portfolios	9	9	5
	Children, Education and Families	0	0	35
	Adult Care and Health	82	82	72
	Public Protection and Enforcement	0	0	5
	Executive, Resources and Contracts	0	0	55
Portfolio	Environment and Community Services	21	14	15
	Education, Children and Families	36	36	0
	Resources Commissioning and Contract Management	58	56	0
	Renewal and Recreation and Housing	10	12	25
	Public Protection and Safety	7	5	0
Total		214	205	207
	Red	11	10	12
	Amber	83	74	72
Risk Index	Yellow	83	82	83
	Green	37	39	40
Total		214	205	207
Procurement Status	Red	72	55	50
	Amber	24	23	48
	Yellow	49	45	24
	Green	69	82	85
Total		214	205	207
Procurement Status	Imminent	3	0	5
Total		3	0	5

Appendix 2 - Contracts Register Key and Background Information

Contract Register Key

1.1 A key to understanding the Corporate Contracts Register is set out in the table below.

Register Category	Explanation	
Risk Index	Colour-ranking system reflecting eight automatically scored and weighted criteria providing a score (out of 100) / colour reflecting the contract's intrinsic risk	
Contract ID	Unique reference used in contract authorisations	
Owner	Manager/commissioner with day-to-day budgetary / service provision responsibility	
Approver	Contract Owner's manager, responsible for approving data quality	
Contract Title	Commonly used or formal title of service / contract	
Supplier	Main contractor or supplier responsible for service provision	
Portfolio	Relevant Portfolio for receiving procurement strategy, contract award, contract monitoring and budget monitoring reports	
Total Contract Value	The contract's value from commencement to expiry of formally approved period (excludes any extensions yet to be formally approved)	
Original Annual Value	Value of the contract its first year (which may be difference from the annual value in subsequent years, due to start-up costs etc.)	
Budget	Approved budget for the current financial year. May be blank due to: finances being reported against another contract; costs being grant-funded, complexity in the finance records e.g. capital (also applies to Projection)	
Projection	Expected contract spend by the end of the current financial year	
Procurement Status	Automatic ranking system based on contract value and proximity to expiry. This is designed to alert Contract Owners to take procurement action in a timely manner. Red ragging simply means the contract is nearing expiry and is not an implied criticism (indeed, all contracts will ultimately be ragged 'red').	
Start & End	Approved contract start date and end date (excluding any extension which has yet	
Dates	to be authorised)	
Months duration	Contract term in months	
Attention 🄁	Red flag indicates that there are potential issues, or that the timescales are tight and it requires close monitoring. (also see C&P Commentary in Part 2)	
Commentary	Contract Owners provide a comment – especially where the Risk Index or Procurement Status is ragged red or amber. Governance and Contracts Directorate may add an additional comment for Members' consideration The Commentary only appears in the 'Part 2' Contracts Register	
Capital	Most of the Council's contracts are revenue-funded. Capital-funded contracts are separately identified (and listed at the foot of the Contracts Register) because different reporting / accounting rules apply	

Contract Register Order

1.2 The Contracts Register is output in Risk Index order. It is then ordered by Procurement Status, Portfolio, and finally Contract Value. Capital contracts appear at the foot of the Register and 'contracts of concern' (to Governance and Contracts Directorate) are flagged at the top.

Risk Index

1.3 The Risk Index is designed to focus attention on contracts presenting the most significant risks to the Council. Risk needs to be controlled to an acceptable level (our risk appetite) rather than entirely eliminated and so the issue is how best to assess and mitigate contract risk. Contract risk is assessed (in the CDB) according to eight separate factors and scored and weighted to produce a Risk Index figure (out of 100). These scores are ragged to provide a visual reference.



Procurement Status

1.4 A contract's Procurement Status is a combination of the Total Contract Value (X axis) and number of months to expiry (Y axis). The table below is used to assign a ragging colour. Contracts ragged red, amber or yellow require action – which should be set out in the Commentary. Red ragging simply means the contract is nearing expiry and it is not an implied criticism (indeed, all contracts will ultimately be ragged 'red').

